EPPING FOREST DISTRICT COUNCIL

FINAL REPORT TO THE AUDIT AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE Audit for the year ended 31 March 2013



CONTENTS

OVERVIEW
INDEPENDENCE
AUDIT SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES4
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS5
MATTERS REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED BY OTHER AUDITING STANDARDS
USE OF RESOURCES - KEY AUDIT MATTERS

1	APPENDIX I: DEFINITIONS	7
3	APPENDIX II: UNADJUSTED AUDIT DIFFERENCES 1	8
4	APPENDIX III: MATERIALITY	0
5	APPENDIX IV: INDEPENDENCE	1
13	APPENDIX V: ACTION PLAN	2
14	APPENDIX VI: FEES SCHEDULE	3
	APPENDIX VII: STATUTORY AND PROFESSIONALLY REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS 2	4
	APPENDIX VIII: DRAFT REPRESENTATION LETTER	5

OVERVIEW

Key audit findings

The purpose of this report is to communicate to you the significant findings from our audit of the financial statements of Epping Forest District Council for the year ended 31 March 2013. This overview covers those matters we believe to be significant in the context of our work. However, you should read the entirety of this report, as there may be other matters raised that you consider important.

We have largely completed our audit work and anticipate issuing an unqualified opinion subject to the outstanding matters listed on page 2.

AREA OF AUDIT	SUMMARY
Financial statements	No material misstatements were identified as a result of our audit work.
	Some areas of work are still outstanding at the time of drafting this report (see page 2). Should these result in any significant issues, we will provide an update to the Audit and Governance Committee.
	Subject to satisfactory completion of the outstanding work, we anticipate issuing an unqualified true and fair opinion on the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013.
Unadjusted audit differences	There are a number of unadjusted audit differences identified by our audit work that are detailed in Appendix II. The net effect of adjusting for these differences would be to reduce the deficit for the year by £116,000.
Internal controls	No significant deficiencies were identified during our review. However, some areas of improvement were identified which we have discussed verbally with management.
Annual Governance Statement	We are satisfied that the Annual Governance Statement is not misleading or inconsistent with other information we were aware of from our audit of the financial statements and complies with "Delivering Good Governance in Local Government" (CIPFA / SOLACE).
Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)	Our work to review the consistency of the whole of government accounts return with the audited financial statements is in progress and a verbal update will be given at the Audit and Governance Committee on 23 September 2013.
Value for Money Conclusion	We are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ended 31 March 2013. We propose issuing an unqualified value for money conclusion.

We would like to thank staff for their co-operation and assistance during the audit and throughout the period.

Audit status and timetable to completion

We set out below the current status of the audit and our timetable to completion.

AUDIT STATUS

We have largely completed our audit work in respect of the financial statements, and anticipate issuing an unqualified opinion on the financial statements.

The following matters are outstanding at the date of this report. We will update you on their current status at the Audit and Governance Committee meeting on 23 September 2013.

- Receipt of outstanding bank confirmation letter
- audit of the updated cash flow statement workings
- completion of our audit of a few disclosure notes
- receipt of amended final financial statements following agreed amendments
- subsequent events review
- clearance of review points
- management representation letter, as attached in Appendix VIII to be approved and signed.

TIMETABLE TO COMPLETE

The anticipated timetable to complete is as follows:

ACTIVITY	DATE
Completion of outstanding audit work on the financial statements	20 September 2013
Audit and Governance Committee meeting	23 September 2013
Signing of financial statements (subject to completion of WGA review)	27 September 2013

INDEPENDENCE

INDEPENDENCE

Under Audit Commission Standing Guidance and Auditing and Ethical Standards, we are required as auditors to confirm our independence to 'those charged with governance'. In our opinion, and as confirmed by you, we consider that for these purposes it is appropriate to designate the Audit and Governance Committee as those charged with governance.

Our internal procedures are designed to ensure that all partners and professional staff are aware of relationships that may be considered to bear on our objectivity and independence as auditors. The principal statements of policies are set out in our firm-wide guidance. In addition, we have embedded the requirements of the Standards in our methodologies, tools and internal training programmes.

The procedures require that audit engagement partners are made aware of any matters which may reasonably be thought to bear on the firm's independence and the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and the audit staff. This document considers such matters in the context of our audit for the year ended 31 March 2013.

A summary of our fees for audit and non-audit services for the period from 1 April 2012 to date is set out below.

We confirm that we are not aware of any relationships that may bear on our independence and objectivity as auditors of the financial statements and that our independence declaration, included in the Audit Plan for 2012/13, has remained valid throughout the period of the audit.

	£
Code Audit fee	85,329
Grants certification fees (estimate)	32,250*
Fees for non-audit services	-
TOTAL FEES	117,579

* Please note that this figure has been amended since the fee reported in our Audit Plan due to there being an error in the original calculation made by the Audit Commission. This is the revised Audit Commission published scale fee.

AUDIT SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES

The audit scope is determined by the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice for Local Government and is in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland), Practice Note 10: audit of public sector bodies in the United Kingdom (October 2010), and guidance issued by the Audit Commission.

This requires that we form an opinion on whether:



FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Key audit and accounting matters

To provide an opinion on whether your financial statements give a true and fair view of your financial position and income and expenditure and whether they have been properly prepared, we carry out risk-based procedures designed to obtain sufficient, appropriate audit evidence to determine with reasonable confidence whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement and evaluate the overall presentation.

In carrying out our work we determine and apply a level of materiality. Materiality is the expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of the financial statements as a whole, or individual elements of the financial statements as appropriate. Consequently, the audit cannot be relied upon to identify all risks or potential or actual misstatements.

We are required to report to you all uncorrected misstatements that relate to the current financial year (including those arising in previous periods that have an effect on the current year financial statements) and the effect that they have individually, or in aggregate, on the opinion in the auditor's report, except for those that are clearly trivial. For reporting purposes, we consider misstatements of less than £33,000 to be trivial and have not reported them, unless the misstatement is indicative of fraud.

We would highlight that in this report we do not provide a comprehensive statement of all weaknesses that may exist in the financial and operational systems, but only those matters which have come to our attention as a result of the audit procedures performed. We only restate weaknesses already reported by Internal Audit where we consider these to be significant deficiencies. Recommendations in response to the key findings identified by our audit of the financial statements are provided in the action plan at Appendix V. These recommendations have been discussed with appropriate officers and their responses are included.

Materiality may relate to both quantitative and qualitative matters and for quantitative considerations the numerical level materiality is assessed at may be different for different information in the financial statements. Nevertheless, within this context, Appendix 3 gives an indication of the quantitative levels used for planning purposes. Materiality is re-assessed every year in the context of authoritative audit practice.

AUDIT RISK AREAS	AUDIT RISK AREAS			
RISK RELATED CONTROLS		WORK PERFORMED	CONCLUSION	
MANAGEMENT OVERRIDE	ISA (UK&I) 240 requires us to presume that a risk of management override of controls is present and significant in all entities. By its nature, there are no controls in place to mitigate the risk of management override.	We reviewed the appropriateness of journal entries and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements. We also reviewed accounting estimates for evidence of possible bias.	We did not identify any significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business for the Council or that otherwise appear to be unusual. Our work on accounting estimates has not identified any evidence of bias.	
REVENUE RECOGNITION - FEES AND CHARGES	ISA (UK&I) 240 assumes that there is a rebuttable presumption that there is a material risk of fraud arising from revenue recognition. We have rebutted this presumption for all income streams except for fees and charges income. We have confirmed that the Council has put in place controls to ensure the occurrence, completeness and accuracy of the income from these sources.	We substantively tested an extended sample of fees and charges income to ensure that accounting policies had been correctly applied in determining the point of recognition of income and that income was completely and accurately recorded.	No issues have been identified from our testing of fees and charges income.	

RISKRELATED CONTROLSWORK PERFORMEDCONCLUSIONImagement system has been reviewed by the Principal Accountant. Officers laised with CIPFA (system providers) around technical accountant. officers laised with CIPFA and CIPFA have also reviewed the final processors of the new system to ensure that it is operating correctly. We reviewed the new system system as been reviewed by the principal Accountant. Officers laised with CIPFA were system to ensure that it is operating correctly. We reviewed the new system system as been reviewed by the principal Accountant. Officers laised with CIPFA were system to ensure that it is operating correctly. We reviewed the new system system as been correctly calculated.Non dwelling assets transfer The Council heases the asset register on an asset. We reviewed the new system system asset assis and worked back to the original gross cost of the saset. Using the amount depreciation had been correctly calculated.IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY XANAGEMENTNon dwelling asset system. Sistem down and the system system.Non dwelling asset system. Sistem down and the system system.IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY XANAGEMENTNon dwelling asset system. Sistem down and the system system.Non dwelling asset system. Sistem down and the depreciation had been correctly calculated.IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY XANAGEMENTNon dwelling asset system. Sistem and the depreciation conting did not identify any issues with the depreciation of the rewise system.IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW YSIEMNon dwelling asset system. Sistem and the system system asset system.IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY XANAGEMENTNon dwelling asset system. Sistem and the system sys	AUDIT RISK AREAS	UDIT RISK AREAS		
IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	RISK	RELATED CONTROLS	WORK PERFORMED	CONCLUSION
	IMPLEMENTATION OF NEW PROPERTY MANAGEMENT	The input of information onto the new CIPFA asset management system has been reviewed by the Principal Accountant. Officers liaised with CIPFA (system providers) around technical accounting issues and CIPFA have also reviewed the final processors of	We substantively tested a sample of assets to ensure that they had been correctly transferred from the prior year audited listing. We reviewed the new systems processes to ensure they are operating in line with expectations and that depreciation had	 Non dwelling assets transfer The Council has historically maintained its fixed asset register on a net basis and, as a result, when transferring to the new system the gross values of the assets and accumulated depreciation were not readily available. As a solution to the issue, the Council reviewed the asset register on an asset-by- asset basis and worked back to the original gross cost of the asset, using the amount depreciated in 2011/12 and the asset's useful life. This resulted in the £2.5m restatement to the gross value and accumulated depreciation to the brought forward amounts, being the balance between the gross values carried forward from the prior year accounts to the worked back gross value from the Council's calculations that have been uploaded to the new asset system. We tested a sample of these assets and concluded that the method applied by the Council was not unreasonable. Non dwelling assets depreciation Our testing did not identify any issues with the depreciation charged during the year. However, we have identified an issue regarding the Revaluation Reserve, where the opening balance as per the financial statements did not agree to the asset management system. In line with the requirements of the Code, revaluation gains should be depreciated, with an amount equal to the difference between current value depreciation charged on assets and the depreciation that would have been chargeable based on their historical cost being transferred each year from the Revaluation Reserve to the Capital Adjustment Account. This adjustment had not been made in previous years, but the new asset management system calculated the Revaluation Reserve as though it had. This adjustment, amounting to £423,000, should have been made in prior periods. The financial statements have been updated to adjust for this in the current year, so that the year end Revaluation Reserve and the Capital

AUDIT RISK AREAS	UDIT RISK AREAS		
RISK	RELATED CONTROLS	WORK PERFORMED	CONCLUSION
			 Dwelling assets transfer The Council has historically not accounted for individual council dwellings on the fixed asset register. With the new system, properties are individually recorded on the fixed asset register and, consequently, the "brought forward" total values required allocating across individual properties. This was achieved through allocating the brought forward amounts based on the valuer's assessment of the property's value as at 1 April 2011. The gross value of the properties was easily identifiable as the revaluation had taken place on 1 April 2011. The new system also required additional information on historical cost, brought forward impairments and reversals. We tested a sample of dwellings and concluded that the method applied by the Council was reasonable. Dwelling assets depreciation The new asset management system was not able to cope with the number of components that would be required to include council dwellings in the system on a component basis. The Council therefore calculated an average useful economic life of each dwelling using the useful economic lives for each component and included this within the new system. We reviewed this calculation and concluded that the depreciation calculated using this method was not materially different to the depreciation that would have been calculated
			if each component was accounted for separately, and therefore the estimated cost of depreciation was not unreasonable.

Accounting Practices and Financial Reporting Framework

Financial statements preparation process

The requirement for Members to approve the draft financial statements by 30 June was removed by the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2011. However, these regulations introduced the requirement for the Responsible Financial Officer to sign and present the financial statements for audit by 30 June. The 2012/13 financial statements were signed and presented for audit on 4 July 2013.

As part of our planning for the audit, we prepared a detailed document request which outlined the information that we would require to complete the audit. The Council provided us with files of comprehensive working papers on 15 July 2013, in line with an agreed timetable. Further working papers in response to queries were obtained during the course of the audit.

Audit issues and impact on opinion

Unfortunately there was a flood at the Council offices two weeks prior to the 30 June deadline. Whilst the Council successfully put into practice its disaster recovery plan, minimising the impact on the provision of services, there was an impact upon the officers' ability to present the financial statements for audit by this date. Officers discussed this at an early stage and we agreed that the start date would be delayed by one week to 15 July to facilitate officers being able to collate the working papers.

Accounting policies	Audit issues and impact on opinion
The following changes have been introduced by the 2012/13 Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom (the 'Code'), resulting in changes in accounting practice:	We have no matters to report.
• There has been some re-ordering and re-phrasing of the objective of the financial statements and the qualitative characteristics of financial information as a result of the publication of the first phase of the International Accounting Standards Board's (IASB's) The Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting 2010 (the Conceptual Framework). The 2012/13 Code has:	
 Underlying Assumption: Going Concern 	
 Fundamental Qualitative characteristics: Relevance, Materiality, Faithful Representation 	
 Enhancing Qualitative Characteristics: Comparability, Verifiability, Timeliness, Understandability 	
• Amendments in relation to IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures (transfers of financial assets).	

Accounting estimates

We review material accounting estimates identified as having high estimation uncertainty or which are subject to a significant degree of judgement by management, and assess the reasonableness of the assumptions applied by management when deciding whether to recognise amounts in the accounts or the value at which these are recognised.

We consider the following to be material accounting estimates with high estimation uncertainty:

- valuation of property
- estimated pension liability
- provision for bad and doubtful debts

Audit issues and impact on opinion

Valuation of property

Land and buildings are required to be carried at fair value which is either existing use value, depreciated replacement cost for specialised properties or open market value. The Council re-values HRA properties on an annual basis and other land and buildings over a five year rolling programme. There is no adjustment for price indices between formal valuations unless there is indication of material change.

Management make valuation adjustments to land and buildings based on valuation reports and useful economic lives provided by an independent firm of valuers with specialist knowledge and experience valuing local authority estates, which has regard to local prices and building tender indices in the public sector.

We are satisfied that the valuer is suitably independent of the Council, objective and experienced in undertaking this work. Our review of the valuations provided, when compared to other price index information available, and useful economic lives allocated to buildings and significant components showed that they are not unreasonable.

Estimated pension liability

The net pension liability of the Council comprises its share of the market value of assets held in the Essex County Council Pension Fund and the estimated future liability to pay pensions for its current, deferred and retired members of the pension scheme.

An actuarial estimate of the pension fund liability is calculated by an independent firm of actuaries with specialist knowledge and experience. The estimate has regard to local factors such as mortality rates and expected pay rises along with other assumptions around inflation.

Management has agreed the assumptions made by the actuary to support the estimate and these are disclosed in the financial statements. We have requested written representations from the Council to confirm that the assumptions applied by the actuary are reasonable and consistent with its knowledge of the business of the Council.

We are satisfied that the actuary is suitably independent of the Council, objective and experienced in undertaking this work. Our review of the assumptions applied in estimating the pension liability suggest that these are generally not significantly different from those being applied by the actuaries of other local authorities.

Provision for bad and doubtful debts

We have reviewed the methodology applied by the Council in estimating the allowance for doubtful debts across all categories of debtor. There has been no change to the method applied when compared to the prior year and we are satisfied that these methods are not unreasonable.

Disclosures	Audit issues and impact on opinion
We review material accounting disclosures, to confirm that they are in compliance with the requirements of the Code.	A number of presentational and disclosure amendments have been made to the draft financial statements as a result of the audit, which include:
	 inclusion of additional disclosures within the Accounting Standards that have been issued but have not yet been adopted note (Note 2) to meet the requirements of the Code.
	 the financial instruments note (Note 18) had not been prepared in accordance with the Code and included amounts such as council tax arrears, which arise under statute, and therefore does not meet the definition of a financial instrument.
	• the trading operations note (Note 29) did not disclose the minimum disclosures as required by the Code.
	 inclusion of additional disclosures to explain the material adjustments to the opening balances of property, plant and equipment (Note 12)
	 the Capital Expenditure and Capital Financing note (Note 37) and the HRA Capital Expenditure note (HRA Note 8) was updated to show the disclosure as required by the Code and to be consistent with the balances disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements.
	• The Adjustments between accounting basis and funding basis under regulations note (Note 6) and the HRA note of reconciling items for the statement of movement on HRA balances (HRA Note 12) was updated to show the disclosures as required by the Code and to be consistent with the balances disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements.
	• The timing of revaluations note (Note 12) incorrectly disclosed the newly revalued amount for Council Dwellings and Garages within the "historical cost" line in this note. The financial statements were updated to include this revaluation within the 31 March 2013 line to reflect the proper timing of the values. Other asset classes have also been re-analysed between years.
Misstatements	Audit issues and impact on opinion
We identified a number of departures from the expected	The following misstatements identified by the audit have been amended by management:

We identified a number of departures from the expected presentation of the 2012/13 financial statements, or where notes and other disclosures had not been presented in accordance with the Code and requested management correct these in order to achieve compliance.

The following misstatements identified by the audit have been amended by management:

- Re-classification of assets held for sale £515,000 from long term assets to current assets on the balance sheet.
- As discussed as part of the "implementation of new property management system" audit risk on page 6, an adjustment of £423,000 was made to reduce the Revaluation Reserve and increase the Capital Adjustment Account.

Unadjusted audit differences

We are required to report to you unadjusted audit differences that relate to the current financial year (including those arising in previous periods that have an effect on the current year financial statements) and the effect that they have individually, or in aggregate, on the auditors' report, except for those that are clearly trivial. These are set out below and their potential impact is summarised at Appendix II.

Audit issues and impact on opinion

Prior year issues brought forward

New Homes Bonus

Our prior year audit found that the Council had received a payment of grant for the new homes bonus of £58,000 in March 2012 but did not account for this income in the year. However, the Code requires that where a grant is received, and there are no conditions attached to the grant, the amount should be recognised immediately. This error also impacts upon the current year income, which has been overstated by £58,000.

Bad debt provision

Our prior year audit found that the Council had incorrectly included write offs processed during the year within the calculation of the year end provision, resulting in an overstatement of the bad debt provision of £58,000 and an understatement of the debtors balance by the same amount in the Balance Sheet. This error also impacts upon the current year income, which has been overstated by £58,000.

Rental income

Our prior year audit identified rental income of approximately \pounds 35,000 that was raised after the year end but related to 2011/12 and therefore should have been included in the 2011/12 financial statements. This error also impacts upon the current year income, which has been overstated by £35,000.

NNDR debtor

Our prior year audit found that the Council had overstated the NNDR pool debtor by £395,000 due to an error in the calculation methodology. This error also impacts upon the current year income, which has been understated by £395,000.

Accruals

Our prior year audit found that the Council had incorrectly accounted for accruals, resulting in a projected misstatement of £44,000, where expenses and liabilities had been overstated. This error also impacts upon the current year expenditure, which has been understated by £44,000.

In all cases above, there is no continuing misstatement in balances as at 31 March 2013.

Current year issues

HRA income

Our testing identified income of £81,000 was not being recognised as income in the HRA Income and Expenditure Statement but was instead being netted down within the Repairs and Maintenance expenditure line. Income and expenditure should be accounted for gross and are, therefore, both understated.

Audit issues and impact on opinion

Completeness of land

Our testing of completeness of land and buildings identified some pieces of land that belonged to the Council but were not included in the fixed asset register. Discussions with officers identified that they were aware that these pieces of land existed but had not yet carried out an exercise to identify them all. Given the small sizes of these pieces of land, which do not have planning permission, the value of this land would not be material. However, we recommend that the Council identifies these pieces of land and includes them as part of the revaluation programme.

Provisions

The Council has included a contingent liability disclosure within the financial statements in relation to their possible liability for the settlement of claims relating to Mesothelioma (which is a rare form of cancer, almost always caused by exposure to asbestos dust). However, based on our testing we consider that a provision would be appropriate as the requirements of IAS 37 Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets to recognise a provision have been met. The scheme administrators have determined that a levy rate of 15% will be required and, therefore, based on the Council's total claims payments a provision of £84,000 should have been made. We consider that expenditure and provisions are therefore both understated by £84,000. However, the Council has previously set aside £650,000 within the Insurance Reserve, which is an earmarked reserve. If a provision had been recognised then this reserve would have been used as the source of funding and therefore there would be no net impact on the HRA balance. Our unadjusted error for this issue therefore reflects both the need to account for expenditure and also the utilisation of the earmarked reserve to fund this.

Major Repairs Reserve

2012/13 saw the introduction of the self-financing regime for the Housing Revenue Account via the Localism Act 2011 and a suite of self-financing determinations introduced the new regime. This allows for a five-year transitional period where it is permitted for the difference between a notional Major Repairs Allowance (MRA) and dwelling depreciation to be charged to the Major Repairs Reserve (MRR), such that the notional MRA becomes the effective charge against the HRA balance.

Our testing of the amounts charged to the MRR identified that the Council had calculated the charge using the total depreciation figure, rather than using the dwelling depreciation. The non-dwelling depreciation amounted to £423,000 and the MRR has therefore been understated by this amount, with the HRA reserve being overstated by the same amount.

Overall impact

The impact of correcting these items would reduce the reported deficit for the year by £116,000.

Matters required to be reported by other auditing standards

Whole of Government Accounts (WGA)	Audit issues and impact on opinion
We are required to perform tests with regard to the WGA return prepared by the Council for use by the Department of Communities and Local Government for the consolidation of the local government accounts, and by HM Treasury at Whole of Government Accounts level. This work requires checking the consistency of the WGA return with the audited financial statements, and reviewing the consistency of income and expenditure transactions and receivables and payable balances with other government bodies.	We have not yet completed our testing on the Council's WGA return. A verbal update will be provided to members at the Audit and Governance Committee.

Annual Governance Statement

We have reviewed the draft Annual Governance Statement and are satisfied that it is not inconsistent or misleading with other information we are aware of from our audit of the financial statements, the evidence provided in the Council's review of effectiveness and our knowledge of the Council.

We have no matters to report.

USE OF RESOURCES - KEY AUDIT MATTERS

We are required to be satisfied that proper arrangements have been made to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources (value for money).

In accordance with our Audit Plan, our principal work in arriving at our value for money conclusion was comparing the Council's performance against the requirements specified by the Audit Commission in its guidance to auditors. This is based on the following two reporting criteria:

- the organisation has proper arrangements in place for securing financial resilience
- the organisation has proper arrangements for challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

The focus of the criteria for 2012/13 is:

- the organisation has robust systems and processes to manage financial risks and opportunities effectively, and to secure a stable financial position that enables it to continue to operate for the foreseeable future
- the organisation is prioritising its resources within tighter budgets, for example by achieving cost reductions and by improving efficiency and productivity
- undertaking other local risk-based work, as appropriate.

Our work considered the outcome from the Audit Commission's financial ratio tool and value for money profiles tool as well as a review of the medium term financial strategy, performance reports and strategic and operational planning documents. Discussions were held with key officers to confirm and update out knowledge as part of this process.

Financial resilience	Audit issues and impact on opinion
The draft 2012/13 financial statements report that the Council has achieved an underspend of £498,000 against the revised budget for 2012/13 and has recognised a increase of £1,926,000 in its usable reserves (comprising the general fund, earmarked reserves, housing revenue account, capital receipts reserve, major repairs reserve and capital grants unapplied) when compared to the closing balances in 2011/12.	We have no matters to report.
The Council has set a balanced budget for 2013/14 and had identified required savings prior to the start of the year. From our review of current documentation, the Council is on track to deliver its 2013/14 budget. The Council also has a good track record of achieving budgets and its successful financial management arrangements have put the Council in a relatively strong position of having built up good levels of funds and reserves to support it in its response to the continued financial pressures faced.	
The medium term financial plan forecasts that it will be necessary to utilise reserves until 2016/17. However, at the end of this period it is estimated that revenue reserves will still be approximately £7.8m, which is more than twice the minimum level of reserves necessary to comply with its own financial management policies.	
The Council already outsources a number of services in order to achieve savings and has been actively reviewing the on-going value for money (VFM) of these arrangements.	

Challenging economy, efficiency and effectiveness

The Council has continued to review and consolidate its baseline arrangements for challenging and securing value for money during 2012/13. The arrangements operated during the year remain adequate. Business plans continue to outline annual value for money considerations and implications for each service and include benchmarking comparisons where appropriate.

We have no matters to report.

Performance management and risk management arrangements that support the achievement of value for money are evidenced as continuing to operate as previously assessed with no contra-indicators.

The Council makes use of consultation, option appraisal and partnership working to assist in achievement of savings and delivery of improved services.

BDO CONCLUSION

Our value for money conclusion is based on considering our overall risk assessment, focusing on the two criteria set by the Audit Commission, and the results of risk based audit work, as well as consideration of the processes underpinning your review of the effectiveness of your controls as described in your Annual Governance Statement.

We are satisfied that, in all significant respects, the Council has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources for the year ending 31 March 2013. We propose issuing an unqualified value for money conclusion.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX I: DEFINITIONS

TERM	MEANING
The Council	Epping Forest District Council
Management	The person(s) responsible for achieving the objectives of the Council and who have the authority to establish policies and make decisions by which those objectives are to be pursued. Management is responsible for the financial statements, including designing, implementing, and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting.
Those charged with	The person(s) with responsibility for overseeing the strategic direction of the Council and obligations related to the accountability of the entity. This includes overseeing the financial reporting process.
governance	Those charged with governance for the Council are the Audit and Governance Committee.
ISAs (UK & Ireland)	International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland)
IAS	International Accounting Standards
IFRS	International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union
Materiality	The size or nature of a misstatement that, in the light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable user of the financial statements would have been changed or influenced as a result of the misstatement.
Code	Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13
CIES	Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement
SeRCoP	Service Reporting Code of Practice for Local Authorities 2012/13
WGA	Whole of Government Accounts

APPENDIX II: UNADJUSTED AUDIT DIFFERENCES

We are required to bring to your attention unadjusted audit differences that the Audit and Governance Committee are required to consider. A schedule of such adjustments is included below and, with the exception of the errors that relate to prior year misstatements, we request that you correct them. Identified misstatements for the current year should, where practicable, be corrected even if not material.

There are eight unadjusted audit differences identified by our audit work for the current year, which would decrease the draft deficit on the CIES by £116,000. Management considers these identified misstatements to be immaterial in the context of the financial statements taken as a whole. We concur with this judgement.

		CURRENT YEAR		PRIOR YEAR		
	CIES DEFICIT (OVER) / UNDER	INCOME OVER / (UNDER)	EXPENSES (OVER) / UNDER	INCOME OVER / (UNDER)	EXPENSES (OVER) / UNDER	NET ASSETS OR RESERVES OVER / (UNDER)
UNADJUSTED AUDIT DIFFERENCES	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Deficit for the year before adjustments	6,219					357,852
Impact of prior year misstatements (no adjustment required in 2012/13)						
(1) Being a grant for £58,000 in relation to the New Homes Bonus that was received in advance in March 2012, with no conditions in place. This should therefore have been recognised as income in 2011/12 and not 2012/13.	58	58		(58)		
(2) Being the overstatement of the bad debt provision in 2011/12 due to write offs being incorrectly included.	58	58		(58)		
(3) Being the understatement of income in 2011/12 due to four invoices in relation to rental income not being accrued for during 2011/12	35	35		(35)		
(4) Being the overstatement of the NNDR debtor in 2011/12 due to an error in the calculation.	(395)	(395)		395		

		CURRENT YEAR PRI		OR YEAR		
	CIES DEFICIT (OVER) / UNDER	INCOME OVER / (UNDER)	EXPENSES (OVER) / UNDER	INCOME OVER / (UNDER)	EXPENSES (OVER) / UNDER	NET ASSETS OR RESERVES OVER / (UNDER)
UNADJUSTED AUDIT DIFFERENCES	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
(5) Being the projected misstatement arising from the incorrect treatment of accruals in 2011/12.	44		44		(44)	
Misstatements identified in the current year						
(6) Being the understatement of both income and expenditure due to netting off of income against the repairs and maintenance expenditure.		(81)	81			
(7) Being the understatement of expenditure and provisions, and an overstatement of earmarked reserves due to a provision in relation to Mesothelioma claims not being recognised.	84		84			(84) 84
(8) Being the understatement of the Major Repairs Reserve and overstatement of the HRA reserve due to non-dwelling depreciation being incorrectly included in the calculation.						423 (423)
TOTAL UNADJUSTED AUDIT DIFFERENCES	(116)	(325)	209	244	(44)	0
Deficit for the year and net assets if adjustments accounted for	6,103					357,852

UNADJUSTED DISCLOSURE MATTERS

The following unadjusted disclosure matters were noted:

The Council has reported the interest on compulsory purchase compensation of £237,000 as an exceptional item on the face of the CIES. For items to be reported as exceptional, they must be material to the financial statements. We do not agree that this item is of sufficient value to be considered material and therefore should not be reported as an exceptional item (note that the value itself is considered to be appropriate).

APPENDIX III: MATERIALITY

MATERIALITY	
Planning materiality	£1,050,000
Final materiality	£1,050,000
Clearly trivial threshold	£33,000

Planning materiality of £1.05 million for the Council was based on 1% of gross expenditure. The figure was based on the full year outturn per the draft financial statements and we have no reason to revise this figure for our final materiality level.

APPENDIX IV: INDEPENDENCE

INDEPENDENCE - THREATS TO INDEPENDENCE AND APPROPRIATE SAFEGUARDS

We have not identified any potential threats to our independence as auditors of the 2012/13 financial statements.

We confirm that the firm complies with the APB Ethical Standards and, in our professional judgement, is independent and objective within the meaning of those Standards.

In our professional judgement the policies and safeguards in place ensure that we are independent within the meaning of all regulatory and professional requirements and that the objectivity of the audit engagement partner and audit staff is not impaired.

Should you have any comments or queries regarding this confirmation we would welcome their discussion in more detail.

APPENDIX V: ACTION PLAN

FINANCIAL STATEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS					
CONCLUSIONS FROM WORK	RECOMMENDATIONS	MAN	AGEMENT RESPONSE	RESPONSIBILITY	TIMING
Pieces of land were identified from our testing that had not been included in the Council's fixed asset register. There is a risk that the Council have understated their assets.	Identify the pieces of land that belong to the Council but have not been included in the fixed asset register. Include these in the Council's revaluation programme and include these within the fixed asset register.	Acco	int piece of work involving the ountancy and Legal Services will be ertaken.	Assistant Director of Finance and ICT	December 2013

APPENDIX VI: FEES SCHEDULE

The Audit Commission's Standing Guidance for Auditors requires us to report the outturn fee position for the year against the budgeted fee included within our Audit Plan.

We will carry out a detailed comparison of actual audit costs incurred against planned costs when we have completed the audit and discuss any impact on the planned fee of £85,329 with management, before we report the final fee outturn.

APPENDIX VII: STATUTORY AND PROFESSIONALLY REQUIRED COMMUNICATIONS

COMMUNICATION REQUIRED	DATE COMMUNICATED	то whom	METHOD
	23 September 2013	Management and those charged with governance	Report to Audit and Governance Committee
Potential effect on the financial statements of any material risks and exposures, such as pending litigation, that are required to be disclosed in the financial statements.	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Misstatements, whether or not recorded by the entity	~	~	~
The final draft of the representation letter	~	~	✓
Material uncertainties related to events and conditions that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Disagreements with management about matters that, individually or in aggregate, could be significant to the entity's financial statements or our audit report	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Expected modifications to our audit report or inclusions of emphasis of matter / other matter	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Significant deficiencies in internal control	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Any other matters warranting attention by those charged with governance, such as questions regarding management integrity, and fraud involving management	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Management judgements and accounting estimates	~	~	~
Other information in documents containing audited financial information	✓	~	~
Consultation with other accountants	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue
Major issues discussed with management	Not an issue	Not an issue	Not an issue

APPENDIX VIII: DRAFT REPRESENTATION LETTER

BDO LLP 16 The Havens Ransomes Europark Ipswich Suffolk IP3 9SJ

xx September 2013

Dear Sirs

Financial statements of Epping Forest District Council for the year ended 31 March 2013

This representation letter is provided in connection with your audit of the financial statements of Epping Forest District Council for the year ended 31 March 2013 for the purpose of expressing an opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view, have been properly prepared in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework and have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of applicable law.

I confirm to the best of my knowledge and belief, and having made appropriate enquiries of directors and officers of the Council, the following representations given to you in connection with your audit of the Council's financial statements:

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Responsibility for financial statements

I acknowledge as the Director of Finance and ICT and s151 Officer my responsibilities for the Statement of Accounts, which include the financial statements, and for ensuring that these are prepared in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom and have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of applicable law.

Significant assumptions

I confirm that the significant assumptions used in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.

(a) Pension fund assumptions

I confirm that the actuarial assumptions underlying the valuation of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) scheme liabilities, as applied by the scheme actuary, are reasonable and consistent with my knowledge of the business. These assumptions include:

•	Rate of inflation (RPI)	3.3%
•	Rate of inflation (CPI)	2.5%
•	Rate of increase in salaries	4.3%
•	Rate of increase in pensions	2.5%
•	Rate for discounting scheme liabilities	4.1%
•	Take up option to convert the annual pension into retirement grant	50 %

I also confirm that the actuary has applied up-to-date mortality tables for life expectancy of scheme members in calculating scheme liabilities.

(b) Fair value measurements and disclosures

I confirm that the valuation at which land and buildings are carried in the financial statements is a reasonable approximation of their fair values, on the bases required by the Code of Audit Practice.

(c) Valuation of housing stock

The useful economic lives of the housing stock and its constituent component, used in the valuation of the housing stock and the calculation of the depreciation charge for the year are consistent with those advised to me by the expert valuer appointed by the Council to provide this information.

Accounting policies

I confirm that the selection and application of the accounting policies used in the preparation of the financial statements are appropriate.

Plans or intentions

I confirm that the Council has no plans or intentions that may materially alter the carrying value and, where relevant, the fair value measurements or classification of assets and liabilities reflected in the financial statements.

Litigation and claims

I have disclosed to you all known actual or possible litigation and claims, the effects of which should be considered when preparing the financial statements and these have been accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework.

Related parties

I confirm that related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the requirements of the applicable financial reporting framework.

Subsequent events

All events occurring subsequent to the date of the financial statements for which the applicable financial reporting framework requires adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

Uncorrected misstatements

You have brought to my attention potential misstatements in the financial statements as listed in the appendix to this letter. I do not wish to amend the financial statements to reflect any of these items as I believe that they are immaterial both individually and in aggregate to the view given by the financial statements as a whole.

Going concern

I confirm that I am satisfied that it is appropriate for the financial statements to have been drawn up on the going concern basis. In reaching this conclusion I have taken into account all relevant matters of which I am aware and have considered a future period of at least one year from the date on which the financial statements will be approved.

INFORMATION PROVIDED

Completeness of information

All the accounting records have been made available to you for the purpose of your audit. I have provided you with all other information requested and given unrestricted access to persons within the Council from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence. All other records and related information, including minutes of all management and Committee meetings held during the year and up to the date of this letter have been made available to you.

All transactions undertaken by the Council have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

There is no relevant audit information needed by you in connection with preparing your audit report of which you are unaware.

Internal Control

I acknowledge my responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

I have communicated to you all significant deficiencies in internal control of which I am aware.

Fraud

I have disclosed to you the results of my assessment of the risk that the financial statements could be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

I have disclosed to you my knowledge of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the Council involving management, employees who have significant roles in internal control or others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements

I have disclosed to you my knowledge of any allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud affecting the financial statements communicated to me by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

Compliance with laws and regulations

I am not aware of any actual or possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements of the Council.

Related parties

I confirm that I have disclosed to you the identity of the Council's related parties, related party relationships and transactions of which I am aware.

Liabilities, contingent liabilities or guarantees

There are no liabilities, contingencies or guarantees to third parties other than those disclosed in the financial statements.

Title to assets

The Council has satisfactory title to all assets and there are no liens or encumbrances on the assets except for those disclosed in the financial statements.

Contractual agreements

The Council has complied with all aspects of contractual agreements that could have a material effect on the financial statements in the event of non-compliance.

Yours faithfully

Robert Palmer BA ACA Director of Finance and ICT

Representations of the Council

We confirm to the best of our knowledge and belief, and having made appropriate enquiries of other officers and members of the Council, the following representations given to you in connection with your audit of the Council's financial statements.

Responsibility for the financial statements

We acknowledge our responsibilities to make arrangements for the proper administration of the Council's financial affairs and to approve the Statement of Accounts, which include the financial statements. The Director of Finance and ICT is responsible for the preparation of the Statement of Accounts, which include the financial statements, in accordance with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom.

Uncorrected misstatements

We have considered the uncorrected misstatements in the financial statements as listed in Appendix 1 to this letter together with the explanations provided by the Director of Finance and ICT for not correcting these misstatements, and we consider them to be immaterial to the view given by the financial statements.

Annual Governance Statement

We confirm that the Council has conducted a review during the year of the effectiveness of its system of internal control. We are satisfied that the Annual Governance Statement appropriately reflects the circumstances of the Council and includes an outline of the actions taken, or proposed, to deal with significant internal control issues.

Yours faithfully

Councillor A Watts Audit and Governance Committee Chair

For and on behalf of Epping Forest District Council

The matters raised in our report prepared in connection with the audit are those we believe should be brought to your attention. They do not purport to be a complete record of all matters arising. This report is prepared solely for the use of the company and may not be quoted nor copied without our prior written consent. No responsibility to any third party is accepted.

BDO LLP is a corporate establishment under the Limited Liability Partnership Act 2000 and a UK Member Firm of BDO International. BDO Northern Ireland, a separate partnership, operates under a licence agreement. BDO LLP and BDO Northern Ireland are both separately authorised and regulated by the Financial Services Authority to conduct investment business.

Copyright ©2013 BDO LLP. All rights reserved.

www.bdo.co.uk

